DETROIT CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION

PROPOSAL/ISSUE REVIEW SUMMARY

ISSUE NUMBER: GDS 27	ISSUE CATEGORY:	Government Department & Services
SOURCE : Gwinnette W. Crownley Letter dated November 15, 2010		RELATED CHARTER SECTIONS: §6-516 (Residence)
RELEVANT ORDINANCE SECTION:	RELEVANT LAW(S)	:
ISSUE/PROPOSAL STATEMENT:	Go back to the form	mer residency rule for all employees.
vehemently contested this rule and evitime, the ruling was not applicable to a residency requirement for all employing exception. It seems that with the budy within its boundaries, paying taxes to residency rule should be seriously conjobs. It's been shown that persons who persons reside outside it. (That's why When they live here, they pay more to other employees with a vested interest.)	rentually the State leany other city emplorment. Prior to that, or get crisis and so man support it with monisidered by this commo live in the city have incentives are being exes, and their very lest in what happens	city personnel to reside in the city. The Police and Fire Fighters egislators voted for a change in the residency rule for them. At that byees. Later, for some unknown reason, the city did away with city only for specific jobs requiring special skills was the rule made an my unemployed Detroiters, the city would want its personnel to reside ies they earned from the city. A look at changing back to the mission. People who live in the city at least should have priority for we a vested interest in what happens to it; the opposite is true when glooked at to get Police and Fire personnel to move back into the city presence helps to deter crime. The same is true when you have to the property around them, especially when they are property at W. Crownley, Letter, dated November 15, 2010.
ANALYSIS:		
DISPOSITION/COMMISION ACTION:		
NOTES:		